

REMARKS

An election of species was required from among the following species identified by the Examiner:

Species I: Figs. 2 and 3;

Species II: Fig. 4;

Species III: Fig. 5;

Species IV: Fig. 6;

Species V: Fig. 7;

Species VI: Fig. 8;

Species VII: Fig. 9; and

Species VIII: Fig. 10.

Applicant provisionally elects Species I, Figs. 2 and 3, for prosecution on the merits, with traverse. It is believed that Claims 1-6, 8 and 13-16 are readable on Species I, Figs. 2 and 3.

The requirement for election of species is traversed on the grounds that the Examiner's division of species is incorrect. The Examiner combined Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 as one species, presumably because at page 4 the description of Fig. 2 indicates that the tube portion is formed from wire 36 as shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 6 was identified as a separate species, but at page 6 the description of Fig. 6 also notes that the tube portion is formed from wire 36 as shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 7 was identified as a separate species, but at page 7 the description of Fig. 7 also notes that the tube portion is formed from wire 36 as shown


in Fig. 3. Fig. 8 was identified as a separate species, but at page 8 the description of Fig. 8 also notes that the tube portion is formed from wire 36 as shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 9 was identified as a separate species, but at pages 8-9 the description of Fig. 9 also notes that the tube portion is formed from wire 36 as shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 10 was identified as a separate species, but at page 9 the description of Fig. 10 also notes that the tube portion is formed from wire 36 as shown in Fig. 3. It is therefore logical to conclude that Species I should be directed to Figs. 2, 3 and 6-10.

Furthermore, at page 5, the description of Figs. 4 and 5 notes that the tube portions of burner heads according to the invention can be constructed as shown in Figs. 4 and 5, i.e., to create any of the embodiments shown in Figs. 2 and 6-10. It is therefore respectfully submitted that the requirement for election of species should be withdrawn.

In light of the foregoing remarks, favorable consideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP

By: 
David G. Parkhurst
Registration No. 29,422

DGP:rvw
Encl.: Return Postcard

Howard Hughes Center
6060 Center Drive, Tenth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90045
Telephone: (310) 824-5555
Facsimile: (310) 824-9696
Customer No. 24201
101294.1